Controlled Bioreactor Landfill Program at the Yolo County Central Landfill

Ramin Yazdani, Jeff Kieffer, Kathy Sananikone Yolo County Planning and Public Works Department Division of Integrated Waste Management Woodland, California U.S. ryazdani@yolocounty.org (530) 666-8848



Methane to Markets Partnership Expo 30 October-1 November 2007 Beijing, China ©2007 Yolo County Yazdani



Presentation Outline

Research objectives
Introduction
Experimental facilities
Project results
Project findings/conclusions
Acknowledgments

Research Objectives

 Accelerate waste decomposition, waste settlement and maximize methane capture.

Analyze the data gathered from full-scale landfill bioreactors.

 Asses the economic benefits of landfill bioreactor versus conventional landfilling.
 Asses the potential carbon impacts of bioreactor landfill.

Degradable Organic Waste Landfilled in U.S.



Conventional "Dry" Landfills



Objectives of Current Conventional "Dry" Landfills Subtitle D of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (40 CFR part 258) Minimize the amount of moisture in landfill Minimize the risk of groundwater pollution Limits the amount of leachate and gas generated

Problems with Conventional "Dry" Landfilling

Waste decomposition at suboptimal rates.
 Waste remains undecomposed for decades to even centuries.
 Leachate and gas generation (50% CH₄, 50% CO₂) persist long into the future.
 Long-term management and monitoring of

Long-term management and monitoring of landfills issues.

Landfills Contribute to Greenhouse Gases

- Methane is 20 times more damaging than carbon dioxide on a volume basis (USEPA, 1994).
- Landfills are responsible for 8% of total anthropogenic methane released (based on EPA estimates).
- Landfill gas is also a renewable energy source.

Bioreactor Landfill Definition

"a bioreactor landfill is a controlled landfill or landfill cell where liquid and gas conditions are actively managed in order to accelerate or enhance biostabilization of the waste."*

As defined by the Solid Waste Association of North America (SWANA)

What's different about a Controlled Bioreactor Landfill?

Controlled quantities of liquid added and recirculated

Landfill Gas Generation Curves



^{©2007} Yolo County Yazdani

Phases of Decomposition in Bioreactor Landfills

Aerobic phase
Anaerobic acid phase
Accelerated methane production phase
Decelerated methane production phase



Important Factors in **Biodegradation of Waste** Moisture content Temperature ■ pH Nutrients Particle size Toxins

Temperature Dependence of Methanogenesis



Bioreactor Landfill Potential Benefits

- Maximizing landfill gas capture for renewable energy (anaerobic).
- Rapid organic waste conversion & stabilization.
- Increased landfill space capacity reuse.
- Reduction of post-closure care maintenance and future risk.
- Improved leachate treatment.
- Reduction of landfill gas fugitive emissions.
- Carbon sequestration potential (anaerobic).

Experimental Facilities: Bioreactor Research Cells

- 8,000 tons control cell "dry cell" (0.25 acre)
 8,000 tons anaerobic bioreactor cell (0.25 acre)
 76,000 tons anaerobic bioreactor cell (3.5 acres)
 194,000 tons anaerobic bioreactor cell (6.0 acres)
- 15,000 tons aerobic bioreactor cell (2.5 acres)

Location of Research Facilities



Experimental Landfill Bioreactors Cell Components Operational flow diagram Waste containment facilities In-situ instrumentations Landfill gas collection and removal system Leachate injection and recirculation system Landfill cover system Data monitoring and collection system

Operational Flow Diagram for Bioreactor Cells



Experimental Landfill Bioreactors



Experimental Landfill Bioreactor Cells

6.0 acre Anaerobic Bioreactor 3.5 acreAnaerobicBioreactor

2.5 acre Aerobic Bioreactor

Waste Containment Facilities

Construction of base liner system





In-situ Instrumentation

Over 400 instruments and 20 miles of wires were installed in the landfill for collecting data.



Waste Placement in Experimental Cells Construction of landfill waste filling





Experimental Landfill Cell Cross-sectional View



Landfill Gas Collection System

Over 12,000 feet of piping for gas collection and removal system was installed.





Landfill Gas Collection and Removal System









Leachate Injection and Recirculation System

Over 17,000 feet of piping for leachate injection and recirculation was installed.





Construction of Cover System Over 500,000 square feet synthetic landfill liner (HDPE) was installed.



Installed Cover System



Data Monitoring and Collection System

Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) System



Data Monitoring and Collection System

SCADA computer screen





Waste Sampling & Testing





Automated Data Collection System (monitored continuously) **In-situ Measurements** Waste temperature (degree C) Moisture sensor (% saturation) Static head on the base liner (ft) Volume of leachate added and recirculated (gallons) Volume of landfill gas collected (scfm) Mass and type of waste and cover soil (tons)

Bioreactor Landfill Leachate Quality Monitoring Parameters

Parameters

Temperature (°C) pH Conductivity (µSm/cm) Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L) Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L) Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) Dissolved Solids (mg/L) Total Organic Carbon (mg/L) Nutrients (NH₃, TKN, TP) (mg/L) Common ions (mg/L) Heavy metal (mg/L) Organic priority pollutants (µg/L)

Monitoring Frequency (1st/2nd yr)

Monthly Monthly Monthly/Quarterly Monthly/Quarterly Monthly/Quarterly Monthly/Quarterly Monthly/Quarterly Monthly/Quarterly Monthly/Quarterly Monthly/Quarterly Monthly/Quarterly

Analytical Parameters Monitored

Parameters Landfill Gas CH₄, CO₂, O₂, N₂, CO (%V/V) NMOC (%V/V) $H_{2}S$ (%V/V) N_2O (%V/V) Solids Testing Moisture content (% M/M) Volatile solids (% M/M) Methane yield (mL CH_4/g) Cellulose (% M/M) Lignin (% M/M) Landfill Settlement Topographic survey

Monitoring Frequency

Weekly Monthly/Quarterly Monthly/Quarterly Monthly/Quarterly

Annually Annually Annually Annually Annually

Annually

Bioreactor Research Questions (partial list)

Does operation of a landfill as a bioreactor:

- Enhance the rate of methane production compared to a conventional "dry" landfill?
- Increase waste settlement and volume loss?
- Improve leachate quality?
- Increase moisture content to optimum level?
- Reduce refuse stabilization time?
- Increase the benefit-cost ratio when compared with a conventional "dry" landfill?

Methane Generation Curves



Methane Generation Curves



Methane Generation Curves



Fitted 1st Order Kinetic Rate Coefficients



L is volume of methane remaining to be produced after time t L_0 is maximum volume of methane (SCF/dry pounds). $L_0 = 1.5$ scf/dry lbs

Project Findings

Liquid addition and recirculation in all anaerobic bioreactor cells increased first order rate constant by factor of three to as much as eightfold over "typical" landfill experience. This method of operation will result in early completion of organic waste decomposition. Methane capture efficiency can be increased significantly when waste is covered quickly with a synthetic cover. Enhanced methane capture results in reduction

of global warming impacts.

Waste Settlement Data



8,000 ton Control and Enhanced Experimental Cells



Findings

Liquid addition and recirculation in all anaerobic bioreactor cells increased settlement by factor of two to as much as fivefold over a conventional "dry" landfill. The additional air space gained is estimated to yield a revenue of \$80,000 to \$100,000 per acre per ft.

Findings

Parasitic energy use is less than 2% of gross methane energy produced. Typical in vessel digester parasitic energy use is in 30-40%. Net cost is \$1 to \$4 per ton of waste (large vs. small landfill). If assigned to fuel, equivalent to \$6 to \$30 per equivalent barrel of oil. Volume reduction and landfill life extension alone can yield revenue to justify controlled bioreactor landfill.

Findings

If bioreactor technology is applied to 50-75% of US waste:

- Fugitive methane emission < 5%-10% of generated.</p>
- Increased recovered methane by 30-100%; potential for 100,000-200,000 daily barrel oil equivalent.

 Greenhouse benefits for US ~50-100+ million tons CO₂ equivalent at cost of \$1-\$5 per ton CO₂ equivalent.

Reference: IEM, 1999. Landfill Operation for Carbon Sequestration and Greenhouse Gas Mitigation, for National Energy Technology Laboratory, US DOE, Program DE-AC26-98FT40422.

Conclusions

 Substantial acceleration of methanogenesis and decomposition, over fivefold (k>0.4-0.5 year⁻¹) by moisture addition and recirculation.

- Efficient gas capture (>95%) using permeable layer under synthetic membrane.
- Can maximize landfill gas energy recovery predictability compared to conventional practice, minimal fugitive emissions.
- Avoid low permeability daily cover soil to ensure uniform moisture distribution.
- Control cell ("dry") results strongly validate "dry tomb" and it's problems.



Research Funding



Integrated Waste Management Board



 Yolo County Public Works-Division of <u>BI@MAS</u> Integrate Waste Management
 California Energy Commission-Public Interest Energy Research
 California Integrated Waste Management Board
 U.S. Department of Energy-National Energy Technology Laboratory
 Western Regional Biomass Energy Program

Acknowledgments

Research partners:

 Institute for Environmental Management, Don Augenstein, President

University of Delaware, Professor Paul Imhoff

 North Carolina State University, Professor Morton Barlaz



Questions?