Replace Glycol Dehydration Units with Methanol Injection

PRO Fact Sheet No. 205

Applicable sector(s):
- Production
- Processing
- Transmission and Distribution

Partners reporting this PRO: Western Gas Resources

Other related PROs: Replace Glycol Dehydrator with Separators and In-line Heaters, Pipe Glycol Dehydrator to Vapor Recovery Unit, Convert Gas-Driven Chemical Pumps to Instrument Air

Technology/Practice Overview

Description
Gas hydrate formation, known as freezing, is a potentially serious problem in natural gas flow lines starting at the production well all the way through to the customer delivery system. The effective inhibition of hydrate formation, especially during cold weather, is essential for producers and transmission companies if they are to maintain a continuous supply of natural gas. Methods to control freezing range from removing water from the gas stream to lowering the water’s dewpoint.

One partner simplified its field operations by replacing a glycol gas dehydrator with methanol injection at some of its low-pressure (50 to 400 psi) gas gathering systems. Methanol injection proved to be a more efficient and simpler method of controlling gas hydrate formation in gas lines than glycol dehydration. Methane and volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from the glycol dehydrator were eliminated. Energy costs were negligible because the methanol pump is solar powered. The process proved so successful that this partner converted more than 70 additional units. The "Sun Pumper" chemical injection system is available from Solar Injection Systems, Inc. of Midland, Texas.

Operating Requirements
Field personnel require training on the methanol pumps’ operation and the proper handling and storage of methanol. The pumps, however, are no more complex than the glycol pumps they replace.

Applicability
Replacing glycol dehydration with methanol injection is applicable to gas systems with a water content that does not meet pipeline specifications.

Methane Emissions Reductions
Glycol dehydrators are a source of methane and VOC emissions. One partner eliminated these emissions by replacing glycol units with methanol injection to control hydrate formation in their low-pressure gas lines at more than 70 locations. It reported emission reductions ranging from 300 Mcf to more than 4,000 Mcf per facility—an average of 800 Mcf per location per year.
Economic Analysis

Basis for Costs and Savings
Additional income of $2,400 per year based on a reduction in vented emissions of 800 Mcf of gas with a nominal value of $3.00 per Mcf was realized. The cost of the methanol was offset by the elimination of the glycol expense.

Discussion
Payout is one year. The methanol injection and solar powered pump equipment can be installed for a capital cost of approximately $2,250 per installation. The systems were converted to reduce maintenance and operation expenses. Methanol costs are $3.45 per MMcf of gas throughput based on a treating ratio of 3 gallons of methanol per MMcf at a cost of $1.15 per gallon. The salvage value of the dehydration unit was not included in the payout determination.