International Methane Recovery and Project Opportunities In the Oil and Gas Industry

Methane to Markets Ministerial Meeting Track 3 – Oil and Gas November 15-17, 2004

Presented by

David Picard

Clearstone Engineering Ltd. Calgary, Alberta, Canada www.clearstone.ca





Experiences in North America and Western Europe



Fugitive Equipment Leaks

• NOTEWORTHY CHARACTERISTICS:

- THC and CH4 emissions are mostly from components in gas service.
- Emission vary greatly between sites but older facilities tend to leak more than newer ones.
- 75 to 85% of emissions economic to reduce.
- Top 10 leaks typically contribute more than 80% of emissions from leaks.
- Leak control is an ongoing effort.
- Maintenance/repair costs tend to increase with component size but leaks don't.

• CHRONIC OR FREQUENT LEAKERS:

- Compressor Seals (34% leak)
- Open-ended lines (vent, drain, and blowdown systems) (20% leak).
- Components in vibration or thermal-cycling service.
- Components in fuel gas service (18% leak).
- Stem packings on rising stem valves.
- PVSVs and hatches on blanketed storage tanks.
- Pressure relief valves.

Leakage at Transmission Facilities



Venting and Flaring

• KEY SOURCES:

- Disposal of waste associated gas at oil production facilities.
- Casing gas vents at heavy oil wells.
- Gas operated devices.
- Still column off-gas vents on glycol dehydrators.
- Leakage into vent/flare header (5-10% of valves leak and 1-2% of these contribute 75%).
- Excessive purge gas rates.
- Other: I&M activities, well testing/servicing and pipeline tie-ins.

• NOTEWORTHY CHARACTERISTICS:

- High uncertainty in values:
 - Flows usually not metered and often reported as zero.
 - Vented volumes often reported as flared.
 - Leakage into vent and flare systems typically unaccounted.
 - Reliability of pilot or ignition systems sometimes a problem.
- Many systems based on outdated gas prices.

Storage Tanks

• CONTROL OPPORTUNITIES:

- Flashing losses at production facilities.
- Unintentional gas carry-through to storage tanks:
 - Leaking drain and dump valves.
 - Malfunctioning level controllers.
 - Inefficient upstream gas/liquid separation.
 - Piping changes resulting in unstabilized product going to tanks.
- Malfunctioning vapor recovery systems:
 - Faulty blanket gas regulators or pressure controllers.
 - Fouled vapor collection lines.

• NOTEWORTHY CHARACTERISTICS

- Methane content minimal downstream of production facilities.
- Emissions often unnoticed on site.
- Vapors rich with NMVOCs and often difficult to utilize without processing.

Combustion Sources

• CONTROL OPPORTUNITIES:

- Poor Thermal Efficiencies
 - Oversized engines, heaters and boilers.
 - Out of tune (e.g., poor air/fuel ratio).
 - Leakage past pistons in engines.
 - Internal valve and cylinder leakage in reciprocating compressors.
- Poor Overall Process Efficiencies
 - Excessive pressure drops.
 - Lack of waste heat utilization.
 - Fouled heat exchangers.
 - Excessive reboiler duties due to high chemical circulation rates.
- NOTEWORTHY CHARACTERISTICS:
 - Low CH4 emissions but good control economics due to value of avoided fuel/energy consumption.

Why Target CH4 Emissions?

- CH4 and associated NMVOC emissions are significant (1.1% x production in Canada,\$1Billion/y).
- Attractive payback periods based on value of avoided losses alone (<1 yr).
- More immediate impact on climate change than CO₂ reductions (CH4 has a life of 12 yrs in the atmosphere & GWP of 56 on 20-yr time horizon).
- Reductions are eligible for GHG credits:
 - Vented or leaked natural gas:
 - Worth \$1.26/GJ at \$3/tonne of CO2E.
 - Worth \$4.19/GJ at \$10/tonne of CO2E.
 - Reduced flaring or fuel consumption:
 - Worth only about 13 percent of the corresponding value of unburned natural gas.

Why are fugitive emissions and energy inefficiencies so large?

- Inadequate monitoring systems to detect & evaluate sensible reduction opportunities.
- Fuel gas often available at no cost or at wholesale prices.
- Inadequate reward or incentive programs:
 - Increased operating costs discouraged.
 - Facilities not credited for avoided production losses.
 - Facilities not credited for reduced environmental emissions.
 - Potential ownership issues (e.g., midstream operators).
 - Avoided losses may simply prolong the reservoir life rather than show an immediate economic benefit.
- Energy efficiency and emissions reduction not the primary business of oil & gas companies.



International Experiences





General Comments

• Key causes of CH4 emissions vary between facilities & countries:

- Inadequate I&M programs.
- Use of poor quality components and materials.
 - Compressor seal leaks in Uzbekistan (>2 x max value in N America).
 - Frequent pipeline ruptures in China.
- Poor designs and operating practices due to capital constraints.
 - Undersized piping.
 - Lack of adequate process controls.
 - Poor QA/QC.
 - Outdated or lack of emission control technologies.
- Restricted market for associated gas production.
- Less sensitized to environmental and energy efficiency issues.
- Generally greater control opportunities, but additional constraints & more difficult to evaluate.

Romgas/ Transgas Gas Transmission System in Romania

A comparison of measured emissions from each of the compressor stations to average Canadian compressor station emissions.

Site	Measured Emissions		Estimated Based on Canadian Factors		
	Methane Emissions (m ³ /d)	CO ₂ E Emissions (tonnes/year)	Methane Emissions (m ³ /d)	CO ₂ E Emissions (tonnes/year)	
Butimanu	4 720	24 548	619	3 320	
Danes	370	1 924	276	1 433	
Deleni	207	1 074	46	238	
Sinca	47.2	246	46	237	

Compressor seals – 82% Leak Pressure safety valves – 45% Leak Valve stem packings – 35% Leak

Measurement Data for China

Pipeline	Length (km)	Methane Emissions (tonnes/y)	Methane Emissions/km (t/year/km)	Annual Throughput (10 ⁹ m ³ /y)	Methane Emissions/Th roughput (percent)
Production and Gathering System in China	4,687	77,628	16.6	3.325	3.53
Transmission/ Distribution System in China	2,952	21,620	7.2	3.15	1.06
U.S. Gas Production and Gathering System ³	144,036	1,080,000	7.5	609	0.26
U.S. Gas Transmission Pipeline ^{3,4}	450,777	1,040,000	2.3	526	0.28
Canadian Transmission System ⁵	15,520	85,892	5.5	81.2	0.15





Potential Barriers

- Absence of domestic or foreign financial support.
- Lack of data for proper evaluation of opportunities by the investment and banking communities.
- Reluctance of industry and government agencies to release information due to perceived security issues.
- Domestic energy pricing polices that do not reflect the actual cost of energy supply.
- Resource ownership issues and corruption.
- Validation & verification of reductions are potentially difficult and costly.

• Simply burning methane instead of venting it reduces GHG emissions by a factor of 7.8.

• Flare gas recovery:

- 9.2 percent of conserved gas is consumed as fuel (production, processing and transmission).
- Negative GHG reduction if venting and fugitive equipment leaks >13% of system throughput.
 - Losses >0.7% of gas system throughput are high.
 - Russia (Gazprom) claims losses of 5 to 10%+
 - Some eastern block countries claim losses of 10 to 30%.
 - Theft a potential factor in many of these cases.

- Optimized or targeted approach is warranted.
- Oil Systems:
 - Opportunities for large reductions in venting/flaring through conservation of associated gas.
 - Economic access to local markets or practicable opportunities to re-inject or utilize the gas production are critical.
 - Best opportunities at central batteries and heavy oil batteries.
- Gas Systems:
 - Value of gas increases in moving downstream while emissions tend to increase in moving upstream (i.e., more infrastructure, more venting and flaring).
 - Gas transmission systems primarily candidates for leak control.
 - Gas plants and gathering compressor stations candidates for energy management & leak control opportunities.